1	Case 5:19-cv-06226-EJD Doo	cument 63	Filed 07/16/20	Page 1 of 4			
1	John V. Picone III, Bar No. 187226						
2	jpicone@hopkinscarley.com Jeffrey M. Ratinoff, Bar No. 197241						
3	jratinoff@hopkinscarley.com Cary Chien, Bar No. 274078						
4	cchien@hopkinscarley.com HOPKINS & CARLEY						
5	A Law Corporation The Letitia Building						
6	70 South First Street San Jose, CA 95113-2406 mailing address:						
7	mailing address: P.O. Box 1469 San Jose, CA 95109-1469						
8	Telephone: (408) 286-9800 Facsimile: (408) 998-4790						
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants						
10	NEO4J, INC. and NEO4J SWEDEN AB						
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
13	NEO4J, INC., a Delaware corporation NEO4J SWEDEN, AB,		CASE NO. 5:18-cv				
14 15	Plaintiffs,		ORDER FOR FUR	ND <del>(PROPOSED)</del> RTHER MODIFICATION GEMENT SCHEDULE			
16	V.		OF CASE MANAC	SEMENT SCHEDULE			
17	PURETHINK LLC, a Delaware lim liability company, IGOV INC., a Vi						
18	corporation, and JOHN MARK SUI individual,						
19	Defendants.						
20	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIN	MS.					
21	NEO4J, INC., a Delaware corporation	on, C	CASE NO. 5:19-cv	-06226-EJD			
22	Plaintiff,						
23	v.						
24	GRAPH FOUNDATION, INC., an	Ohio					
25 26	corporation,						
27	Defendant.						
28							
HOPKINS & CARLEY ATTORNEYS AT LAW	842\3569393.2						
SAN JOSE ♦PALO ALTO	STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER FOR F	URTHER MODIFIC		EMENT SCHEDULE 82-EJD; AND 5:19-CV-06226-EJD			

1

2

3

5

7

10

9

12

13

11

15

16

14

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28
HOPKINS & CARLEY

## **STIPULATION**

Plaintiff and Counter-Defendants Neo4j, Inc. and Neo4j Sweden AB (collectively "Plaintiffs") and Defendants and Counterclaimants PureThink LLC and iGov, Inc. and Defendant John Mark Suhy (collectively the "PureThink Defendants") in the action entitled *Neo4j, Inc. et al v. PureThink LLC et al.* Case No. 5:18-cv-07182-EJD ("PureThink Action") and Neo4j, Inc. and Defendant Graph Foundation, Inc. ("GFI") in the related action entitled *Neo4j, Inc. v. Graph Foundation, Inc.*, Case No. 3:19-cv-06226-EJD ("GFI Action"), by and through the parties' respective attorneys, hereby submit this stipulation regarding the case schedule as follows:

- 1. On April 10, 2020, the Court granted the parties' stipulation concerning the claims, counterclaims and affirmative defenses ("Phase 1 Issues") that would be subject to the first motions for summary judgment/adjudication filed by each party. *See* CASE NO. 5:18-cv-07182-EJD, Dkt. No. 58; CASE NO. 5:19-cv-06226-EJD, Dkt. No. 45.
- 2. Despite their prior meet and confer efforts, however, the parties were in disagreement as to where the unclean hands affirmative defenses asserted by the PureThink Defendants and GFI in their respective operative answers, which are based on similar factual allegations, directly pertain to Lanham Act and UCL claims asserted by Neo4j USA against them.
- 3. After further meet and confer, the parties have reached an agreement that the unclean hands defenses asserted by PureThink Defendants and GFI in their respective operative answers will not be addressed by the parties in the Phase 1 motions for summary judgment and/or adjudication. Instead, the unclean hands defenses asserted by PureThink Defendants and GFI will be addressed by the parties in Phase 2. The parties reserve all rights in litigating their respective positions concerning the scope and merits of Defendants' unclean hands defenses to the claims asserted by Plaintiffs.
- 4. The parties further agree that because Neo4j USA's pending motion to dismiss challenging the viability of PureThink Defendants' trademark abandonment counterclaim and affirmative defense based on the theory of naked licensing is not being be heard until August 8, 2020, the case management schedule for Phase 1 should be modestly extended to allow the Court time to rule that motion and for the parties to settle the pleadings, if necessary, thereafter.

In light of the foregoing, the Parties respectfully request that the Court enter the 5. following case schedule in both the PureThink Action and GFI Action:

Event	Current Deadline	<b>Proposed Deadline</b>
Fact Discovery Cutoff for Phase 1 Issues	August 14, 2020	September 14, 2020
Last Day to File Fact Discovery Motions for Phase 1 Issues	August 21, 2020	September 21, 2020
Last Day for Neo4j USA to file its combined motion for summary judgment, partial summary judgment and/or summary adjudication for Phase 1 Issues in the PureThink and GFI Actions	September 25, 2020	October 26, 2020
Last Day for Defendants to file their combined opening motion for summary judgment, partial summary judgment and/or summary adjudication and opposition for Phase 1 Issues in the PureThink and GFI Actions	October 23, 2010	November 23, 2010
Last Day for Neo4j USA to file its combined opposition/reply in the PureThink and GFI Actions	November 16, 2020	December 23, 2020
Last Day for Defendants to file their combined reply brief in the PureThink and GFI Actions	December 2, 2020	January 15, 2020
Hearing on motions for summary judgment, partial summary judgment and/or summary adjudication and a further Case Management Conference to set the schedule for Phase 2 in the PureThink and the GFI Actions	December 17, 2020, or the earliest available date thereafter	January 28, 2021, or the earliest available date thereafter at 9:00 A.M.

## IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

22 23

20

21

1

2

24

25

26

27

28

HOPKINS & CARLEY ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN JOSE PALO ALTO

- 3 -842\3569393.2

ĺ	Case 5:19-cv-06226-EJD	Document 63	Filed 07/16/20	Page 4 of 4
1	Dated: July 15, 2020		HOPKINS & CAR A Law Corporation	
2			By: /s/ Jeffrey M. I	Ratinoff
3			Jeffrey M. Rati Attorneys for P	noff
4			Counter-Defen	dants and NEO4J SWEDEN AB
5	Dated: July 15, 2020		NEO-1, INC. a	ilid NEO+3 SWEDEN AD
6	Dated. July 13, 2020		/s/ Adron W. B	Beene
7			Adron W. Beer	ne Defendants PURETHINK
8			LLC, IGOV IN SUHY	IC., and JOHN MARK
9 10	Dated: July 15, 2020		BERGESON, LLP	
11			By: /s/ John D. Per	rnick
12			John D. Pernicl	k Defendant NDATION, INC.
13	WE IS SO ORDERED		GRAPH FOUN	NDATION, INC.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.			$\alpha$
15	D-4-1- I-l16 2020		7	
16	Dated: July 16, 2020		EDWARD J. DA	
17			United States Di	strict Court Judge
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
LAW	842\3569393.2 STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER		4 - ICATION OF CASE MANAC	GEMENT SCHEDULE